

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/688,471	10/16/2003	Xing Xie	MS1-1643US	3070
22801 LEE & HAYE	7590 01/17/2008	01/17/2008 EXAM		
421 W RIVER	SIDE AVENUE SUITE 500	1	DALEY, CLIFTON G	
SPOKANE, W	A 99201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	ication No. Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/688,471	XIE ET AL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Clifton G. Daley	2624				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) Clifton G. Daley.	(3) <u>Robert Hartma</u>	<u>n</u> .				
(2) <u>Samir Ahmed</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 14 January 2008.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: 1, 12 and 13.						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Horvitz et al. (US 6232974)</u> , <u>Itti et al. (Computational Modelling of Visual Attention)</u> , <u>Osberger (US 6670963)</u> <u>and Pirolli (Exploring Browser Design Trade-offs Using a Dynamical Model of Optimal Information Foraging)</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached g) was not reached h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicants proposed amendment to claim 1 overcomes cited references of Horwitz and Itti. Osberger may read on amendment, but additional search will be required. Regarding claims 12 and 13, it was agreed that Pirolli did not disclose "information fidelity". However, there was disagreement on whether or not the cited references as a whole read on the claims. Applicant will present arguments in amendment.</u>						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendmer					
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERQUIREMENTS on reverse side or on attached sheet.	last Office action has OF ONE MONTH OF ERVIEW SUMMARY	s already been filed, APPI R THIRTY DAYS FROM T FORM, WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO			
		-				
SM		gg Jeley				
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	 Examin	er's signature, if required	•			